

**Erratum: A quantum reactive scattering study of  $\text{Mu} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{MuH} + \text{H}$**   
**[J. Chem. Phys. 83, 3441 (1985)]**

George C. Schatz

*Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201*

Pages 3445 and 3446 should be interchanged. I thank Dr. Jonathan Connor for pointing this out.

**Erratum: Quantization with operators appropriate to shapes of trajectories and classical perturbation theory [J. Chem. Phys. 81, 5013 (1984)]**

T. Uzer

*School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332*

R. A. Marcus

*Arthur Amos Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*

The column labeled  $\text{AQ}^b$  in Table I should contain the same numbers as  $\text{AQ}^c$  instead of those actually given. As a consequence, the last two sentences in footnote b can be deleted, and the numerical results in Ref. 4 and those of our article now agree. As already stated in Sec. V A, the two second-order AQ expressions agree. There are several misprints, none of which alter any results or conclusions: The ket in the third line of Eq. (3.4) should be  $|n-1, l-1\rangle$ , the  $\text{H}_2$  in Eq. (3.9) should be replaced by  $(\text{H}_0 + \text{H}_2)$ , the sec-

ond  $E_{3,\pm 1}$  label in Table III should read  $E_{3,\pm 3}$ , the  $-2$  in Eq. (3.17) should read  $-4$ , the coefficient of  $I_\phi^2$  in Eq. (4.7) should be divided by 12, and that of  $\lambda^2$  in Eq. (B2) (the term in the brackets) by 6.

We are grateful to Professor F. Borondo, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, and to Professor M. K. Ali, University of Lethbridge, for calling some of the above corrections to our attention.